The FIRST MEMBER (Rajamantrapravina N. Madhava Rau).—It is true that in some taluks, a large number of records have been pending for long. Establishments have been increased in all such cases. And in future, in preparing the programme of work, the department will be requested to bring to disposal those cases which are pending longest. say for two years and more, in preference to more recent cases.

Mr. Rayara KEMPANNA.—As regards rates of temporary assessment also, some special instructions may kindly be issued. It is a fact that the rates go even up to Rs. 2-8-0 per acre in certain cases as against Rs. 1-6-0 which is the actual rate of permanent assessment in the same locality?

Mr. N. MADHAVA RAU.—Instructions will be issued that only the rates prevailing in the neighbourhood should be levied.

Mr. B. SITHARAMIAH.—What is the reason for so many cases remaining undisposed of for 20 years? Is it not a hardship to the raiyats if the cases are kept pending, having received deposits from the parties to the extent of Rs. 40,000?

Mr. PRESIDENT.—The disposal of all pending cases will be expedited as far as possible.

Mr. B. SITHARAMIAH.—In view of the fact that certain cases have been pending for the past 20 years and the raiyats have been paying more in the shape of temporary assessment, will the Government be pleased to remit the difference of temporary and permanent assessment for all the years after the assessment is fixed permanently?

Mr N. MADHAVA RAU.—That question assumes that the permanent rates will be lower than the temporary rates, in all cases.

Mr. B. SITHARAMIAH.—If they go higher, the Government may recover the difference from the landowners.

Mr. N. MADHAVA RAU.—If you are agreeable to that, we shall note your suggestion.

Lands and Villages submerged under Krishnarajasagara and Award of Compensation.

Q.—24. Messrs. T. S. SIVALINGASWAMY (T.-Narsipur Taluk); SIDDAVEERAPPA, GURUBASAPPA and K. N. PUTTANNA (Chamarajnagar Taluk); K. C. SUBBANNA (Gundlupet Taluk); G. MALLANNA (Mysore Taluk); Lokasevaniratha B. SIDDALINGA SETTY (Krishnarajnagar Taluk); K. NANDI BASAPPA, Rao Sahib M. RAMASWAMY and M. SHAMANNA (Mysore City Municipality) and H. P. BASAPPA (Heggaddevankote Taluk).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) (i) the extent in acres of wet and dry lands brought under submersion at the first stage, namely, 88 feet contour of the Krishnarajsagara; (ii) how many villages came under submersion;

(*b*) (i) the amount of compensation awarded per acre; (ii) how many new villages have been built;

(*c*) (i) how many villages, and (ii) how much area (wet and dry) were submerged during the second stage (plus 106 contour);

(*d*) (i) the rate of cash compensation paid per acre and the extent of land awarded in exchange per acre of submerged land; (ii) how many villages have been built;

(*e*) (i) the extent submerged in the third stage (plus 120 contour) and (ii) how many villages were submerged;

(*f*) (i) the particulars of awards passed for this, and (ii) the new villages built;

(*g*) (i) the extent of land (dry and wet), (ii) the number of villages that came under submersion at plus 124 contour; and (iii) how many new villages have been built;

(*h*) whether it has come to their notice that the *khatedars* of lands brought under submersion by the first stage are being proceeded against on the plea that arrears are still outstanding in respect of those lands though these *khatedars* have been awarded other lands elsewhere and have settled in other villages?

A.—**The CHIEF SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT (Rajasevaprasaktha B. T. Kesavalengar).**—

(*a*) (i)—

		Ac.	G.
Dry	...	5,122	36
Wet and Garden		5,805	29
Total	...	10,928	25

(ii) 51 villages were affected.

(*b*) (i)—

		Rs. per acre.
Dry	...	40 to 60.
Wet	...	400 to 500.
Garden	...	500 to 550.

(ii) 14 villages.

(*c*) (i) 60 villages were affected.

(ii)—

		Ac.	G.
Dry	...	2,946	31
Wet and Garden		1,284	23
Total	...	4,231	14

(*d*) (i)—

		Cash. *Rs. per acre.*
Dry	...	40 to 60.
Wet	...	400 to 500.
Garden	...	500 to 550.
		Land.
Dry	...	1 : 1
Wet and Garden	...	1½ : 1

(ii) Six villages.

(*e*) (i)—

		Ac.	G.
Dry	...	2,638	21
Wet and Garden		1,300	35
Total	...	3,939	16

(ii) 92 villages were affected.

(*f*) (i) Rates same as for lands submerged under 88′ contour.

(ii) 4 villages.

(*g*) (i)—

		Ac.	G.
Dry	...	1,049	19
Wet and Garden		781	33
Total	...	1,831	12

(ii) 92 villages were affected.

(iii) 4 villages.

(*h*) No.

Rao Sahib M. RAMASWAMY.—Are the Government aware that in about 20 cases as detailed below, entire villages are surrounded by stagnant water and it has been exceedingly difficult for the raiyats to lay out new extensions? And what action will Government take to give effect to their idea of constructing new villages?

Names of Villages.

1. Malligere.
2. Mavinakere.
3. Bookahalli Koppalu.
4. Kattahalli.
5. Kudalukuppe.
6. Singanahalli.
7. Mamballi.
8. Somanathapura.
9. Belathuru.
10. Kattekyathanahalli.
11. Manchanahalli.
12. Badakanakoppalu.
13. Doddakoppalu.
14. Karagallikoppalu.
15. Kamenahalli.
16. Kanaganahalli.
17. Marchahalli.
18. Hosahalli.
19. Yachagowdanahalli.
20. Harnahalli.

The FIRST MEMBER (Rajamantrapravina N. Madhava Rau).—We shall examine all those cases.

Mr. THIMMEGOWDA *alias* THAMMIAH GOWDA.—The residents of Krishnarajanagar and the surrounding villages cannot adequately describe the troubles they are undergoing as a result of stagnation of water all round. Will Members of Government be pleased to inspect our place urgently now that the water level in the Krishnarajasagara is as much as 124 feet?

Mr. PRESIDENT.—The First Member of Council, the Revenue Commissioner and the Director of Public Health will come and see things for themselves tomorrow or the day after.

Mr. THIMMEGOWDA *alias* THAMMIAH GOWDA.—We are very grateful to Government for that answer.